

G52MAL

Machines and their Languages

Lecture 5: Equivalence between NFAs and DFAs

Thorsten Altenkirch
based on slides by Neil Sculthorpe

Room A10
School of Computer Science
University of Nottingham
United Kingdom
txa@cs.nott.ac.uk

9th February 2012

DFAs are NFAs

- A DFA is just a special case of an NFA, where there is:
 - exactly one initial state;
 - exactly one transition from each state per symbol.
- Thus all DFA transition diagrams also define an NFA that accepts the same language.

Converting DFAs to NFAs

Given a DFA

$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_A, q_0, F)$$

an equivalent NFA $N(A)$ can be constructed as follows:

$$N(A) = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_{N(A)}, \{q_0\}, F)$$

where

$$\delta_{N(A)}(q, x) = \{\delta_A(q, x)\}$$

NFA Observations

- An NFA is always in a **set** of states.
- When reading an input symbol, the machine enters a new set of states.
- How many possible sets of states are there?
 - For each state, the machine is either in that state or not — i.e. 2 possibilities per state.
 - Thus $2^{|Q|}$ possibilities.
- This could be a lot, but it is **finite**.
- So we could convert an NFA to a DFA by taking each **set** of NFA states to be a **single** DFA state!

Converting NFAs to DFAs: The Subset Construction

Given an NFA

$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_A, S, F_A)$$

an equivalent DFA $D(A)$ can be constructed as follows:

$$D(A) = (\mathcal{P}(Q), \Sigma, \delta_{D(A)}, S, F_{D(A)})$$

where

$$\delta_{D(A)}(P, x) = \bigcup \{ \delta_A(q, x) \mid q \in P \}$$

$$F_{D(A)} = \{ P \mid P \in \mathcal{P}(Q) \wedge (P \cap F_A \neq \emptyset) \}$$

Summary

- A DFA is a special case of an NFA.
- An NFA can be converted to a DFA using the Subset Construction.
- Thus DFAs and NFAs are **interconvertible**, and therefore equivalent in the sense that they characterise exactly the same class of languages: the **Regular Languages**.

Recommended Reading

- Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation (3rd edition), pages 60–71
- G52MAL Lecture Notes, pages 11–13