

Monads and More: Part 1

Tarmo Uustalu, Tallinn

Nottingham, 14–18 May 2007

Outline

- Monads and why they matter for a working functional programmer
- Combining monads: monad transformers, distributive laws, the coproduct of monads
- Finer and coarser: Lawvere theories and arrows
- Comonadic notions of computation: dataflow notions of computation, notions of computation on trees

Prerequisites

- Basics of functional programming and typed lambda calculi
- From category theory:
 - functors, natural transformations
 - adjunctions
 - symmetric monoidal (closed) categories
 - Cartesian (closed) categories, coproducts
 - initial algebra, final coalgebra of a functor

Monads

- A *monad* on a category \mathcal{C} is given by a
 - a functor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (the *underlying functor*),
 - a natural transformation $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T$ (the *unit*),
 - a natural transformation $\mu : TT \rightarrow T$ (the *multiplication*)

satisfying these conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA & \xrightarrow{\eta_{TA}} & TTA \\ T\eta_A \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \mu_A \\ TTA & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & TA \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} TTTA & \xrightarrow{\mu_{TA}} & TTA \\ T\mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_A \\ TTA & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & TA \end{array}$$

- This definition says that (T, η, μ) is a monoid in the endofunctor category $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}]$.

An alternative formulation: Kleisli triples

- A more combinatory formulation is the following.
- A *monad (Kleisli triple)* is given by
 - an object mapping $T : |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}|$,
 - for any object A , a map $\eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$,
 - for any map $k : A \rightarrow TB$, a map $k^* : TA \rightarrow TB$ (the *Kleisli extension* operation)

satisfying these conditions:

- if $k : A \rightarrow TB$, then $k^* \circ \eta_A = k$,
 - $\eta_A^* = \text{id}_{TA}$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow TB$, $\ell : B \rightarrow TC$, then $(\ell^* \circ k)^* = \ell^* \circ k^*$.
- (Notice there are no explicit functoriality and naturality conditions.)

Monads vs. Kleisli triples

- There is a bijection between monads and Kleisli triples.
- Given T , η , μ , one defines

- if $k : A \rightarrow TB$, then $k^* =_{\text{df}} TA \xrightarrow{Tk} TTB \xrightarrow{\mu_B} TB$.

- Given T (on objects only), η and $-^*$, one defines

- if $f : A \rightarrow B$, then

- $Tf =_{\text{df}} (A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\eta_B} TB)^* : TA \rightarrow TB$,

- $\mu_A =_{\text{df}} (TA \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{TA}} TA)^* : TTA \rightarrow TA$.

Kleisli category of a monad

- A monad T on a category \mathcal{C} induces a category $\mathbf{KI}(T)$ called the *Kleisli category* of T defined by
 - an object is an object of \mathcal{C} ,
 - a map of from A to B is a map of \mathcal{C} from A to TB ,
 - $\text{id}_A^T =_{\text{df}} A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} TA$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow^T B$, $\ell : B \rightarrow^T C$, then
$$\ell \circ^T k =_{\text{df}} A \xrightarrow{k} TB \xrightarrow{T\ell} TTC \xrightarrow{\mu_C} TC$$
- From \mathcal{C} there is an identity-on-objects *inclusion functor* J to $\mathbf{KI}(T)$, defined on maps by
 - if $f : A \rightarrow B$, then
$$Jf =_{\text{df}} A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\eta_B} TB = A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} TA \xrightarrow{Tf} TB.$$

Computational interpretation

- Think of \mathcal{C} as the category of pure functions and of TA as the type of effectful computations of values of a type A .
- $\mathbf{KI}(T)$ is then the category of effectful functions.
- $\eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$ is the identity function on A viewed as trivially effectful.
- $Jf : A \rightarrow TB$ is a general pure function $f : A \rightarrow B$ viewed as trivially effectful.
- $\mu_A : TTA \rightarrow TA$ flattens an effectful computation of an effectful computation.
- $k^* : TA \rightarrow TB$ is an effectful function $k : A \rightarrow TB$ extended into one that can input an effectful computation.

Kleisli adjunction

- In the opposite direction there is a functor $U : \mathbf{KI}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by
 - $UA =_{\text{df}} TA$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow^T B$, then $Uk =_{\text{df}} TA \xrightarrow{k^*} TB$.
- J is left adjoint to U .

$$\frac{\frac{JA \rightarrow^T B}{A \rightarrow TB}}{A \rightarrow UB}$$

- Importantly, $UJ = T$. Indeed,
 - $UJA = TA$,
 - if $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $UJf = (\eta_B \circ f)^* = Tf$.
- Moreover, the unit of the adjunction is η .
- $J \dashv U$ is the initial adjunction factorizing T in this way. There is also a final one, known as the Eilenberg-Moore adjunction.

Examples

- Exceptions monad:
 - $TA =_{\text{df}} A + E$ where E is some object (of exceptions),
 - $\eta_A =_{\text{df}} A \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} A + E$,
 - $\mu_A =_{\text{df}} (A + E) + E \xrightarrow{[\text{id}, \text{inr}]} A + E$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow B + E$, then $k^* =_{\text{df}} A + E \xrightarrow{[k, \text{inr}]} B + E$.
- Output monad:
 - $TA =_{\text{df}} A \times E$ where (E, e, m) is some monoid (of output traces), e.g., the type of lists of a fixed element type with nil and append,
 - $\eta_A =_{\text{df}} A \xrightarrow{\text{ur}} A \times 1 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times e} A \times E$,
 - $\mu_A =_{\text{df}} (A \times E) \times E \xrightarrow{a} A \times (E \times E) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times m} A \times E$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow B \times E$, then
$$k^* =_{\text{df}} A \times E \xrightarrow{k \times \text{id}} (B \times E) \times E \xrightarrow{a} B \times (E \times E) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times m} B \times E.$$

- Reader monad:

- $TA =_{\text{df}} E \Rightarrow A$ where E is some object (of environments),
- $\eta_A =_{\text{df}} \Lambda(A \times E \xrightarrow{\text{fst}} A)$,
- $\mu_A =_{\text{df}} \Lambda((E \Rightarrow (E \Rightarrow A)) \times E \xrightarrow{\langle \text{ev}, \text{snd} \rangle} (E \Rightarrow A) \times E \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} A)$,
- if $k : A \rightarrow E \Rightarrow B$, then $k^* =_{\text{df}} \Lambda((E \Rightarrow A) \times E \xrightarrow{\langle \text{ev}, \text{snd} \rangle} A \times E \xrightarrow{k \times \text{id}} (E \Rightarrow B) \times E \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} B)$.

- Side-effect monad:

- $TA =_{\text{df}} S \Rightarrow A \times S$ where S is some object (of states),
- $\eta_A =_{\text{df}} \Lambda(A \times S \xrightarrow{\text{id}} A \times S)$,
- $\mu_A =_{\text{df}} \Lambda(S \Rightarrow ((S \Rightarrow A \times S) \times S) \times S \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} (S \Rightarrow A \times S) \times S \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} A \times S)$,
- if $k : A \rightarrow S \Rightarrow B \times S$, then $k^* =_{\text{df}} \Lambda((S \Rightarrow A \times S) \times S \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} A \times S \xrightarrow{k} (S \Rightarrow B \times S) \times S \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} B \times S)$.

Strong functors

- A *strong functor* on a category $(\mathcal{C}, I, \otimes)$ is given by
 - an endofunctor F on \mathcal{C} ,
 - together with a natural transformation

$$sl_{A,B} : A \otimes FB \rightarrow F(A \otimes B)$$
 (the (*tensorial*) *strength*)
- satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 I \otimes FA & \xrightarrow{sl_{I,A}} & F(I \otimes A) \\
 \text{ul}_{FA} \downarrow & & \downarrow F\text{ul}_A \\
 FA & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & FA
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (A \otimes B) \otimes FC & \xrightarrow{sl_{A \otimes B, C}} & F((A \otimes B) \otimes C) \\
 \text{a}_{A,B,FC} \downarrow & & \downarrow F\text{a}_{A,B,C} \\
 A \otimes (B \otimes FC) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes sl_{B,C}} A \otimes F(B \otimes C) \xrightarrow{sl_{A, B \otimes C}} & F(A \otimes (B \otimes C))
 \end{array}$$

- A strong natural transformation between two strong functors (F, sl) , (G, sl') is a natural transformation $\tau : F \rightarrow G$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes FB & \xrightarrow{sl_{A,B}} & F(A \otimes B) \\
 \text{id}_A \otimes \tau_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{A \otimes B} \\
 A \otimes GB & \xrightarrow{sl'_{A,B}} & G(A \otimes B)
 \end{array}$$

Strong monads

- A *strong monad* on a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, I, \otimes)$ is a monad (T, η, μ) together with a strength sl for T for which η and μ are strong, i.e., satisfy

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes B & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \otimes B \\
 \text{id}_{A \otimes \eta_B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_{A \otimes B} \\
 A \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{\text{sl}_{A,B}} & T(A \otimes B) \\
 \\
 A \otimes TTB & \xrightarrow{\text{sl}_{A,TB}} & T(A \otimes TB) & \xrightarrow{T\text{sl}_{A,B}} & TT(A \otimes B) \\
 \text{id}_{A \otimes \mu_B} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \mu_{A \otimes B} \\
 A \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{\text{sl}_{A,B}} & & & T(A \otimes B)
 \end{array}$$

(Note that Id is always strong and, if F, G are strong, then GF is strong.)

Commutative monads

- If $(\mathcal{C}, I, \otimes)$ is symmetric monoidal, then a strong functor (F, sl) is actually bistrong: it has a *costrength* $sr_{A,B} : FA \otimes B \rightarrow F(A \otimes B)$ with properties symmetric to those of a strength defined by

$$sr_{A,B} =_{\text{df}} FA \otimes B \xrightarrow{c_{FA,B}} B \otimes FA \xrightarrow{sl_{B,A}} F(B \otimes A) \xrightarrow{F c_{B,A}} F(A \otimes B)$$

- A bistrong monad (T, sl, sr) is called *commutative*, if it satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TA \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{sl_{TA,B}} & T(TA \otimes B) \xrightarrow{Tsr_{A,B}} TT(A \otimes B) \\
 \downarrow sr_{A,TB} & & \downarrow \mu_{A \otimes B} \\
 T(A \otimes TB) & & \\
 \downarrow Tsl_{A,B} & & \\
 TT(A \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{A \otimes B}} & T(A \otimes B)
 \end{array}$$

Examples

- Exceptions monad:
 - $TA =_{\text{df}} A + E$ where E is an object,
 - $\text{sl}_{A,B} =_{\text{df}} A \times (B + E) \xrightarrow{\text{dr}} A \times B + A \times E \xrightarrow{\text{id} + \text{snd}} A \times B + E.$
- Output monad:
 - $TA =_{\text{df}} A \times E$ where (E, e, m) is a monoid,
 - $\text{sl}_{A,B} =_{\text{df}} A \times (B \times E) \xrightarrow{a^{-1}} (A \times B) \times E.$
- Reader monad:
 - $TA =_{\text{df}} E \Rightarrow A$ where E is an object,
 - $\text{sl}_{A,B} =_{\text{df}} \Lambda((A \times (E \Rightarrow B)) \times E \xrightarrow{a} A \times ((E \Rightarrow B) \times E) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{ev}} A \times B).$

Tensorial vs. functorial strength

- A *functorially strong functor* on a monoidal closed category $(\mathcal{C}, I, \otimes, \multimap)$ is an endofunctor F on \mathcal{C} with a natural transformation $fs_{A,B} : A \multimap B \rightarrow FA \multimap FB$ internalizing the functorial action of F .
- There is a bijective correspondence between tensorially and functorially strong endofunctors, in fact an equivalence between their categories.
- Given fs , one defines sl by

$$sl_{A,B} =_{\text{df}} A \otimes FB \xrightarrow{\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}} (B \multimap A \otimes B) \otimes FB \xrightarrow{\wedge^{-1}(fs)} F(A \otimes B)$$

- Given sl , one defines fs by

$$fs_{A,B} =_{\text{df}} \wedge((A \multimap B) \otimes FA \xrightarrow{sl} F((A \multimap B) \otimes A) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} FB)$$

On **Set**, every monad is $(1, \times)$ strong

- Any endofunctor on **Set** has a unique functorial strength and any natural transformation between endofunctors on **Set** is functorially strong.
- Hence any monad on **Set** is both functorially and tensorially strong.

Effects

- Of course we want the Kleisli category of a monad to contain more maps than the base category.
- To describe those, we must single out some proper sources of effectfulness. How to choose those is a topic on its own.
- E.g., for the exceptions monad, an important map is $\text{raise} =_{\text{df}} E \xrightarrow{\text{inr}} A + E$.

Semantics of pure typed lambda calculus

- Pure typed lambda calculus can be interpreted into any Cartesian closed category \mathcal{C} , e.g., **Set**.
- The interpretation is this:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket K \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \text{an object of } \mathcal{C} \\ \llbracket A \times B \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket A \rrbracket \times \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket A \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \underline{C} \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket C_0 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket C_{n-1} \rrbracket \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) x_i \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \pi_i \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{ let } x \leftarrow t \text{ in } u \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket (\underline{x}, x) u \rrbracket \circ \langle \text{id}, \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket \rangle \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{ fst}(t) \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \text{fst} \circ \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{ snd}(t) \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \text{snd} \circ \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) (t_0, t_1) \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \langle \llbracket (\underline{x}) t_0 \rrbracket, \llbracket (\underline{x}) t_1 \rrbracket \rangle \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) \lambda x t \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \Lambda(\llbracket (\underline{x}, x) t \rrbracket^T) \\ \llbracket (\underline{x}) t u \rrbracket &=_{\text{df}} \text{ev} \circ \langle \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket, \llbracket (\underline{x}) u \rrbracket \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- This interpretation is sound: derivable typing judgements of the pure typed lambda calculus are valid, i.e.,

$$\underline{x} : \underline{C} \vdash t : A \text{ implies } \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket : \llbracket \underline{C} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket$$

and the same holds true about all derivable equalities.

- This interpretation is also complete.

Pre-[Cartesian closed] structure of the Kleisli category of a strong monad

- Given a Cartesian (closed) category \mathcal{C} and a $(1, \times)$ strong monad T on it, how much of that structure carries over to $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$?
- We can manufacture “pre-products” in $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$ using the products of \mathcal{C} and the strength sl like this:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 \times^T A_1 &=_{\text{df}} A_0 \times A_1 \\ \text{fst}^T &=_{\text{df}} \eta \circ \text{fst} \\ \text{snd}^T &=_{\text{df}} \eta \circ \text{snd} \\ \langle k_0, k_1 \rangle^T &=_{\text{df}} sl^* \circ sr \circ \langle k_0, k_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{k : C \rightarrow TA \quad \ell : C \times A \rightarrow TB}{\text{---}}$$

$$\ell \bullet^T k =_{\text{df}}$$

$$C \xrightarrow{\langle \text{id}_C, k \rangle} C \times TA \xrightarrow{\text{sl}_{C,A}} T(C \times A) \xrightarrow{\ell^*} TB$$

$$\text{fst}^T =_{\text{df}} A_0 \times A_1 \xrightarrow{\text{fst}} A_0 \xrightarrow{\eta} TA_0$$

$$\text{snd}^T =_{\text{df}} A_0 \times A_1 \xrightarrow{\text{snd}} A_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} TA_1$$

$$\frac{k_0 : C \rightarrow TA_0 \quad k_1 : C \rightarrow TA_1}{\text{---}}$$

$$\langle k_0, k_1 \rangle^T =_{\text{df}}$$

$$C \xrightarrow{\langle k_0, k_1 \rangle} TA_0 \times TA_1 \xrightarrow{\text{sr}_{A_0, TA_1}} T(A_0 \times TA_1) \xrightarrow{\text{sl}_{A_0, A_1}^*} T(A_0 \times A_1)$$

- The typing rules of products hold, but not all laws.
- In particular, we do not get the β -law of products. Effects cannot be undone!
- E.g., taking T to be the exception monad defined by $TA =_{\text{df}} A + E$ for some fixed E we do not have $\text{snd}^T \circ^T \langle k_0, k_1 \rangle^T = k_1$.
- Take $k_0 =_{\text{df}} \text{raise} = \text{inr} : E \rightarrow TA$,
 $k_1 =_{\text{df}} \text{id}^T = \text{inl} : E \rightarrow TE$
 Then $\langle k_0, k_1 \rangle^T = \text{inr} : E \rightarrow T(A \times E)$ and hence
 $\text{snd}^T \circ^T \langle k_0, k_1 \rangle^T = \text{inr} \neq \text{inl} = k_1$.
- In fact, \times^T is not even a bifunctor unless T is commutative, although it is functorial in each argument separately. Effects do not commute in general!

- “Pre-exponents” are defined from the exponents of \mathcal{C} by

$$A \Rightarrow^T B \quad =_{\text{df}} \quad A \Rightarrow TB$$

$$\text{ev}^T \quad =_{\text{df}} \quad \text{ev}$$

$$\Lambda^T(k) \quad =_{\text{df}} \quad \eta \circ \Lambda(k)$$

$$\text{ev}_{A,B}^T =_{\text{df}} (A \Rightarrow TB) \times A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{A,B}} TB$$

$$k : C \times A \rightarrow TB$$

$$\Lambda^T(k) =_{\text{df}} C \xrightarrow{\Lambda(k)} A \Rightarrow TB \xrightarrow{\eta} T(A \Rightarrow TB)$$

- It is not true that $A \Rightarrow^T - : \mathbf{KI}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{KI}(T)$ is right adjoint to $- \times^T A : \mathbf{KI}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{KI}(T)$.
So \Rightarrow^T is not a true exponent wrt. the preproduct \times^T .
- But $A \Rightarrow^T - : \mathbf{KI}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is right adjoint to $J(- \times A) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{KI}(T)$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{J(C \times A) \rightarrow^T B}{C \times A \rightarrow TB}}{C \rightarrow A \Rightarrow TB}}{C \rightarrow A \Rightarrow^T B}$$

We that say $A \Rightarrow^T B$ is the *Kleisli exponent* of A, B .

- More about the pre-[Cartesian closed] structure of Kleisli categories in the story about arrows.

CoCartesian structure of the Kleisli category of a monad

- If C is coCartesian (has coproducts), then $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$ is coCartesian too, since J as a left adjoint preserves colimits.
- Concretely, the coproduct on $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}A_0 +^T A_1 &=_{\text{df}} A_0 + A_1 \\ \text{inl}^T &=_{\text{df}} \eta \circ \text{inl} \\ \text{inr}^T &=_{\text{df}} \eta \circ \text{inr} \\ [k_0, k_1]^T &=_{\text{df}} [k_0, k_1]\end{aligned}$$

Semantics of an effectful language

- In the semantics of an effectful language, the semantic universe is the Kleisli category $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$ of the appropriate monad T on a Cartesian closed base category \mathcal{C} .
- The pure fragment is interpreted into $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$ as if the language was pure, using the pre-[Cartesian closed] structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket K \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \text{an object of } \mathbf{Kl}(T) \\ &= \text{that object of } \mathcal{C} \\ \llbracket A \times B \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket A \rrbracket^T \times^T \llbracket B \rrbracket^T \\ &= \llbracket A \rrbracket^T \times \llbracket B \rrbracket^T \\ \llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket A \rrbracket^T \Rightarrow^T \llbracket B \rrbracket^T \\ &= \llbracket A \rrbracket^T \Rightarrow T \llbracket B \rrbracket^T \\ \llbracket \underline{C} \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket C_0 \rrbracket^T \times^T \dots \times^T \llbracket C_{n-1} \rrbracket^T \\ &= \llbracket C_0 \rrbracket^T \times \dots \times \llbracket C_{n-1} \rrbracket^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket (\underline{x}) x_i \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \pi_i^T \\
\llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{let } x \leftarrow t \text{ in } u \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \llbracket (\underline{x}, x) u \rrbracket^T \circ^T \langle \text{id}^T, \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T \rangle^T \\
&= (\llbracket (\underline{x}, x) u \rrbracket^T)^* \circ \text{sl} \circ \langle \text{id}, \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T \rangle \\
\llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{fst}(t) \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \text{fst}^T \circ^T \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T \\
&= T\text{fst} \circ \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T \\
\llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{snd}(t) \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \text{snd}^T \circ^T \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T \\
&= T\text{snd} \circ \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T \\
\llbracket (\underline{x}) (t_0, t_1) \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \langle \llbracket (\underline{x}) t_0 \rrbracket^T, \llbracket (\underline{x}) t_1 \rrbracket^T \rangle^T \\
&= \text{sl}^* \circ \text{sr} \circ \langle \llbracket (\underline{x}) t_0 \rrbracket^T, \llbracket (\underline{x}) t_1 \rrbracket^T \rangle \\
\llbracket (\underline{x}) \lambda x t \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \Lambda^T (\llbracket (\underline{x}, x) t \rrbracket^T) \\
&= \eta \circ \Lambda (\llbracket (\underline{x}, x) t \rrbracket^T) \\
\llbracket (\underline{x}) t u \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \text{ev}^T \circ^T \langle \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T, \llbracket (\underline{x}) u \rrbracket^T \rangle^T \\
&= \text{ev}^* \circ \text{sl}^* \circ \text{sr} \circ \langle \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T, \llbracket (\underline{x}) u \rrbracket^T \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

- As $\mathbf{KI}(T)$ is only pre-Cartesian closed, for this pure fragment, soundness of typing holds, i.e.,

$$\underline{x} : \underline{C} \vdash t : A \text{ implies } \llbracket (\underline{x}) t \rrbracket^T : \llbracket \underline{C} \rrbracket^T \rightarrow^T \llbracket A \rrbracket^T$$

but not all equations of the pure typed lambda-calculus are validated.

- In particular,

$$\vdash t : A \text{ implies } \llbracket t \rrbracket^T : 1 \rightarrow^T \llbracket A \rrbracket^T$$

so a closed term t of a type A denotes an element of $T\llbracket A \rrbracket^T$.

- Any effect-constructs must be interpreted specifically validating their desired typing rules and equations.
E.g., for a language with exceptions we would use the exceptions monad and define

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket (\underline{x}) \text{ raise}(e) \rrbracket^T &=_{\text{df}} \text{ raise} \circ^T \llbracket (\underline{x}) e \rrbracket^T \\ &= \text{raise}^* \circ \llbracket (\underline{x}) e \rrbracket^T \end{aligned}$$

Monad maps

- A *monad map* between monads T, S on a category \mathcal{C} is a natural transformation $\tau : T \rightarrow S$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \\
 \eta_A^T \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_A^S \\
 TA & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & SA
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 TTA & \xrightarrow{\tau_{TA}} & STA & \xrightarrow{S_{TA}} & SSA \\
 \mu_A^T \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \mu_A^S \\
 TA & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & SA & &
 \end{array}$$

- Alternatively, a map between two monads (Kleisli triples) T, S is, for any object A , a map $\tau_A : TA \rightarrow SA$ satisfying
 - $\tau_A \circ \eta_A^T = \eta_A^S$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow TB$, then $\tau_B \circ k^{*T} = (\tau_B \circ k)^{*S} \circ \tau_A$.
 (No explicit naturality condition on τ .)
- The two definitions are equivalent.
- Monads on \mathcal{C} and maps between them form a category **Monad**(\mathcal{C}).

Monad maps vs. functors between Kleisli categories

- There is a bijection between monad maps τ between T , S and functors $V : \mathbf{KI}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{KI}(S)$ satisfying $VJ^T = J^S$.
- Given τ , one defines V by
 - $VA =_{\text{df}} A$,
 - if $k : A \rightarrow TB$, then $Vk =_{\text{df}} A \xrightarrow{k} TB \xrightarrow{\tau_B} SB$.
- Given V , one defines τ by
 - $\tau_A =_{\text{df}} V(TA \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{TA}} TA) : TA \rightarrow^S A$.