

# How **not** to prove Strong Normalisation

based on joint work with James Chapman

Thorsten Altenkirch

School of Computer Science and IT  
University of Nottingham

April 11, 2007

- 1993 *A formalization of the strong normalization proof for System F in LEGO*  
Typed Lambda Calculi and Applications (TLCA)
- 1993 *Constructions, Inductive Types and Strong Normalization*  
PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh
- 1994 *Proving Strong Normalization of CC by Modifying Realizability Semantics*  
Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES), 1994

# Strong Normalisation ?

- A reduction relation  $\triangleright \subseteq T_m \times T_m$  is *strongly normalizing*, if all sequences  $t_0 \triangleright t_1 \triangleright t_2 \triangleright \dots$  are finite.
- If  $\triangleright$  is strongly normalizing and confluent, then the associated equivalence relation  $\simeq \subseteq T_m \times T_m$  is decidable.
- Example:  $\beta$ -reduction, the congruence closure of

$$(\lambda x.t)u \triangleright t[x = u]$$

is strongly normalizing on terms typable in the simply typed  $\lambda$  calculus. (Tait 1967).

- The same is true for terms typable in System F proven by Girard, 1972 using *candidates of reducibility*.
- See *Proofs and Types*, 1989 by Girard, Taylor and Lafont.

- How to deal with  $\eta$ -expansion?

$$t \triangleright \lambda x.t x$$

- How to deal with stronger theories?  
E.g. strong products or coproducts?  
Dependent types ...
- How to combine with substitution?  
E.g.  $\lambda^\sigma + \beta$ -reduction is not strongly normalizing  
Mellies, 1995
- Is there a better way to tell the story?
- And who would implement normalisation like this?

```
while redex left do  
  replace redex by reduct
```

$$\text{Nf } \sigma \subseteq \text{Tm } \sigma$$

$$\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \sigma}{\text{nf } t \in \text{Nf } \sigma}$$

$$\frac{t \simeq u}{\text{nf } t = \text{nf } u} \quad \frac{}{t \simeq \text{nf } t}$$

- Strong normalisation.
- Normalisation by evaluation (NbE).  
Berger and Schwichtenberg, 1991
- Big step normalisation (BSN).

# The simply typed $\lambda$ calculus

$$\frac{}{v_0 \in \text{Tm } \Gamma . \sigma \sigma} \quad \frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma}{t^{+\tau} \in \text{Tm } \Gamma . \tau \sigma} \quad \frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma . \sigma \tau \quad u \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma}{t[u] \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \tau}$$
$$\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma . \sigma \tau}{\lambda^\sigma t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \quad \frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma}{t u \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \tau}$$

Families of congruences  $\simeq_w, \simeq_\beta, \simeq_{\beta\eta} \subseteq (\text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma)^2$ :

$\simeq_w$  weak equality, closed under

$$(\lambda^\sigma t)u \simeq_w t[u] \quad (\beta) \text{ but not under } \frac{t \simeq u}{\lambda^\sigma t \simeq \lambda^\sigma u} \quad (\xi).$$

$\simeq_\beta$  closed under  $(\beta)$  and  $(\xi)$ .

$\simeq_{\beta\eta}$  closed under  $(\beta)$ ,  $(\xi)$  and  
 $\lambda^\sigma(t^{+\sigma} v_0) \simeq_{\beta\eta} t \quad (\eta)$

# Big step normalisation

- Implement an evaluator:

$$\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \ \sigma \quad \vec{v} \in \text{Env } \Delta \ \Gamma}{\text{eval } t \ \vec{v} \in \text{Val } \Delta \ \sigma}$$

using an environment machine.

- We define a function

$$\frac{v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ \sigma}{\text{quote}^w v \in \text{Nf } \Gamma \ \sigma}$$

- We show (using Tait's method) that for all  $t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \ \sigma$ 
  - 1  $\text{eval } t \ \vec{v}$  terminates returning  $v$ .
  - 2 and  $\text{quote}^w v \simeq_w t$



- To reflect  $\simeq_\beta$  and  $\simeq_{\beta\eta}$  we define  $\text{quote}^\beta$  and  $\text{quote}^{\beta\eta}$ .
- We also show:

$$\frac{t =_w u}{\text{eval } t \vec{v} = \text{eval } u \vec{v}}$$

- 

$$\text{nf } t = \text{quote}^w(\text{eval } t \text{ id})$$

where  $\text{id} \in \text{Env } \Gamma \Gamma$  is the identity environment.

$$\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \ \sigma \quad \vec{v} \in \text{Env } \Delta \ \Gamma}{\text{eval } t \ \vec{v} \in \text{Val } \Delta \ \sigma}$$

$$\frac{f \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \quad v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ \sigma}{f @ v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ \tau}$$

$$\text{eval } v_0 \ (\vec{v}, v) = v$$

$$\text{eval } t^{+\sigma} \ (\vec{v}, v) = \text{eval } t \ \vec{v}$$

$$\text{eval } (\lambda^\sigma t) \ \vec{v} = (\lambda^\sigma t)[\vec{v}]$$

$$\text{eval } (t u) \ \vec{v} = (\text{eval } t \ \vec{v}) @ (\text{eval } u \ \vec{v})$$

$$(\lambda^\sigma t[\vec{v}]) @ v = \text{eval } t \ (\vec{v}, v)$$

$$n @ v = n v$$

$$\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma. \sigma \tau \quad \vec{v} \in \text{Env } \Delta \Gamma}{\lambda^\sigma t[\vec{v}] \in \text{Val } \Delta (\sigma \rightarrow \tau)} \quad \frac{n \in \text{Ne } \Gamma \sigma}{n \in \text{Val } \Gamma \sigma}$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{Var } \Gamma \sigma}{x \in \text{Ne } \Gamma \sigma} \quad \frac{n \in \text{Ne } \Gamma (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \quad v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \sigma}{n v \in \text{Ne } \Gamma \tau}$$

$$\frac{}{() \in \text{Env } \Gamma \bullet} \quad \frac{\vec{v} \in \text{Env } \Gamma \Delta \quad v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \sigma}{(\vec{v}, v) \in \text{Env } \Gamma \Delta. \sigma}$$

where  $\text{Var } \Gamma \sigma \subseteq \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma$   
only using  $v_0$  and  $t^{+\sigma}$ .

- It is not clear, that  $\text{eval}$  and  $@$  are total.  
We use ideas from Bove & Capretta.
- We use inductively defined relations:

$$\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \ \sigma \quad \vec{v} \in \text{Env } \Delta \ \Gamma \quad w \in \text{Val } \Delta \ \sigma}{\text{eval } t \ \vec{v} \downarrow w \in \mathbf{Prop}}$$

$$\frac{f \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \quad v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ \sigma \quad w \in \text{Val } \Gamma \ \tau}{f@v \downarrow w \in \mathbf{Prop}}$$

- We write

$$\begin{aligned}\text{eval } t \ \vec{v} \downarrow &= \exists w. \text{eval } t \ \vec{v} \downarrow w \\ f@v \downarrow &= \exists w. f@v \downarrow w\end{aligned}$$

- We can define total versions of  $\text{eval}$  and  $@$  by structural induction over  $\text{eval } t \ \vec{v} \downarrow$  and  $f@v \downarrow$ .

$$\frac{v \in \text{Val } \Gamma \sigma}{\text{quote } v \in \text{Nf } \Gamma \sigma}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{quote}^w (\lambda^\sigma t[\vec{w}]) &= \lambda^\sigma t[\vec{w}] \\ \text{quote}^\beta (\lambda^\sigma t[\vec{w}]) &= \lambda^\sigma \text{quote}^\beta (\text{nf } t \vec{v}) \\ \text{quote}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}^{\beta\eta} f &= \lambda^\sigma \text{quote}^{\beta\eta} (f^{+\sigma} @_{v_0}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\forall v. \text{SCV}^\sigma v \implies f@v \downarrow w \wedge \text{quote } w =_w (\text{quote } f) (\text{quote } t)}{\text{SCV}^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} f}$$

$$\frac{\forall \vec{v}. \text{SCV } \vec{v} \implies \text{eval } t \vec{v} \downarrow w \wedge t[\text{quote } \vec{v}] = \text{quote } w \wedge \text{SCV } w}{\text{SCT } t}$$

**Theorem**  $\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma}{\text{SCT}^\sigma t}$  by induction over  $t$ .

**Corollary**  $\frac{t \in \text{Tm } \Gamma \sigma}{\text{nf } t \downarrow v \wedge \text{quote } v \simeq_w t}$

# Conclusions

- Big step normalisation (BSN) is an alternative to using small step reduction and prove strong normalisation and confluence.
- We hope that BSN leads to simpler or new proofs for typed  $\lambda$  calculi.
- The definition of `nf` is similar to the ones actually used in implementations.
- It seems straightforward to implement a substitution calculus similar to  $\lambda^\sigma + \beta\eta$ .
- Unlike *Normalisation by evaluation* we don't need higher order functions.
- See *Tait in one big step* (joint with James Chapman, MSFP 06) for an application of BSN to combinatory logic.